COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY PROGRAM

Program Effectiveness Data Report

In addition to the mission, goals and student learning outcomes listed elsewhere on this site, the effectiveness of the program is reflected through program effectiveness data. Program effectiveness data includes the program completion rate, credentialing examination pass rate, job placement rate, graduate satisfaction, and employer satisfaction. Definitions of these measures and program data are noted below.

Questions about program effectiveness data should be directed to the Radiologic Technology Program Director.

Program Completion Rate			
Defined as the number of students who complete the program within the stated program length. When calculating the number of			
Year	Number of Students that Began Program	Number of Students that Completed Program	Completion Rate %
2023	18	18	100%
2022	16	16	100%
2021	17	16	94%
2020	15	15	100%
2019	13	13	100%
Totals	79	78	99%

Credentialing Examination Pass Rate			
Defined as the number of graduates who pass the exam compared with the number of graduates who took the exam within 6 months of			
(does not include grads who have not taken exam or failed to communicate. Cannot verify grad sat for ARRT exam)			
Program Benchmark: 75% Annual & Minimum 5 year average of 75%			
Year	Number of Graduates that took Exam	Number of Graduates that passed exam	Pass Rate %
2023	16	16	100%
2022	15	15	100%
2021	13	12	92%
2020	11	9	82%
2019	12	12	100%
Totals	67	64	96%

| Job Placement Rate			
Defined as the number of graduates employed, within 12 months of graduation, in Computed Tomography compared to the number of			
(does not include grads who are not seeing employment or failed to communicate. Cannot verify grad was seeking employment)			
(Program Benchmark: 75% Annual & Minimum 5 year average of 75%			
Year	Number of Graduates Actively Seeking Employment	Number of Graduates that Found Employment	Placement Rate %
2023	15	15	100%
2022	15	15	100%
2021	12	12	100%
2020	9	9	100%
2019	12	12	100%
Totals	63	63	100%

Graduate Satisfaction Survey

Percent

98 — 98
96 — 96
94 — 94
93 — 93
95 — 95

2019 2020 2021 2022 2023

Graduate Satisfaction Survey

Program benchmark= 90% annual & 90% minimum 5 year average

Graduate Satisfaction Rate 5 Year Average: 95%

1. The program was well structured and organized
2. Program didactic courses provided a positive learning environment
3. Program clinical courses provided a positive learning environment
4. The program subject matter reflected job requirements
5. The program provided a well-structured, competency-based curriculum that prepared me to practice in the professional
6. Program policies were fair
7. Program policies were consistently applied
8. The program was effective in preparing me to enter the work force
9. I feel confident of my skills as a result of my education
10. Program **faculty** were knowledgeable of subject matter
11. Program **faculty** were approachable and encouraging
12. Program support staff (e.g. secretaries, division personnel) were helpful and treated me courteously
13. The program was of sufficient duration for me to acquire knowledge and competencies
14. Overall, I am well prepared to function as a competent entry-level CT technologist
15. Overall, the program is of high quality
16. I would recommend the program to a friend