Appendix G June 14, 2017 September 9, 2015

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM Revised 2009

1. Project Title:	West Parcel Solar (WPS) Project
2. Lead Agency Name and Address:	Mt. San Antonio College, 1100 North Grand Avenue, Walnut, California 91789
3. Contact Person and Phone Number:	Rebecca Mitchell, Facilities Planning and Management (909) 274-5175
4. Project Location:	City of Walnut, County of Los Angeles
5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address:	Mt. San Antonio College, 1100 North Grand Avenue, Walnut, California 91789
6. General Plan Designation:	Hillside Single Family Residential Identity Maximum Density: 1.3 du/acre (City of Walnut) 2012 Facility Master Plan Future New Building or Expansion Zone (Mt. SAC)
7. Zoning:	Solar & Retail (Mt. SAC) Residential Plan Development 28,500: 1.3 du (City of Walnut)

8. Description of the Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The project site is undeveloped and contains primarily coastal sage scrub, habitat for the threatened coastal California gnatcatcher. Replacement and restored habitat will be implemented onsite and east of Grand Avenue. Grading will occur on 17.25 acres to create a 9.9 acre pad at 761 feet mean sea level for a 2.0 MW solar panel system. Earth import for the project is estimated as 139,000 cubic yards. The project will provide up to 4.6 million kwh of electricity for the campus during its first year of operation. The solar system is a design-build agreement for the purchase and installation of a ground-mount solar photovoltaic system, which will provide clean power for the campus. The 2.2-MW system; will have both fixed solar panels and panels that tilt to better capture sunlight while minimizing glare.

9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings)

The WPS Project is proposed on campus south of Temple Avenue and west of Grand Avenue in the City of Walnut. The Project site is undeveloped and includes Venturian coastal sage scrub, and agricultural land (initially classified as non-native grassland in 2008. The northern portion of the 23.0-acre triangular site is vacant and was used for Christmas tree sales.

The WPS project is bordered by City of Walnut residential land uses to the south and west, an office building at the southwest corner of Temple/Grand Avenues and the Mt. SAC Wildlife Sanctuary and Snow Creek east of Grand Avenue and south of Temple Avenue. A campus service road extends along the southeast edge of the Wildlife Sanctuary and terminates at Grand Avenue. South of this service road and east of Grand Avenue is a prominent landform known at MSAC Hill. A small rectangular undeveloped area occurs east of Grand Avenue south of MSAC Hill and east of the WPS site. Stonybrook Drive provides access to the residential land uses to the west, as does Regal Canyon Drive south from Temple Avenue.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required and have been obtained (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (SWRCB) United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) City of Walnut (grading plan)

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below(\blacksquare) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Recreation
Agricultural and Forest Resources	Hydrology/Water Quality	Transportation/Traffic
Air Quality	Land Use/Planning	Utilities/Service Systems
Biological Resources	Mineral Resources	Mandatory Findings of Significance
Cultural Resources	Noise	
Geology/Soils	Population/Housing	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Public Services	

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	No
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project	No
proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	NU
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.	No
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless	
mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier	
document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measutr3es based on	Yes
the earlier analysis as describ4ed on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required,	
but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all	
potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION	
pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or	No
NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed	
project, nothing further is required.	

Betecca mithel

Signature

Rebecca Mitchell

June 16, 2017

Date

Printed Name

Mt. San Antonio College

For

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - (a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - (b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - (c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The analysis of each issue should identify: (a) the significance criteria or threshold used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?		1		X
There are no designated scenic vistas in the Project area.				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock			[
outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
The Project does not damage scenic resources. The site is not adjacent to a scenic	highway			
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its	ingiiway.		1	I
surroundings?			X	
Grand Avenue declines from Temple Avenue toward Stonybrook Drive and the top	of-slope (i.e.	to the building	ng nad easter	n edge
ranges from 730-770 msl). Therefore, the top-of-slope increases in height as you c				
frontage; shielding the solar panels from driver view.	inte south on a			
The building pad is at 761 feet msl and the natural habitat terrain along the western	edge is up to '	790 feet msl.	The resider	ntial pads
west of the project are probably at 790 feet msl or above. The site plan includes re				
lower elevation of the building pad, and distance separation for most offsite resident				
The rear yards of the lots offsite provide additional separation from the Project site				
or more feet high. Therefore, there is no substantial degradation of the visual characteristical degradation of the visual characteristic degradation of the vis				
character of the site is maintained by completion of the Landscape Plan for the area	as along Grand	Avenue and	I the higher e	levation o
the site pad from Grand Avenue (i.e. up to 80 feet at the southeast). Therefore, the	Project impact	is Less than	Significant.	Concerns
related to the solar panels are addressed later in this report.	111		22 - 54	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect			X	
day or nighttime views in the area?				
PV solar panels absorb sunlight to convert sunlight into electricity. A mono-crysta				
	educes reflecti	ion and incra	1. 1	4
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa				
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties.	l system, comb	ined with a t	tracked and t	ilt solar
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties.2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether	l system, comb	pined with a t	racked and t resources are	ilt solar
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whethe environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Lagencies may re	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As	resources are sessment M	ilt solar significat odel (199'
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use the california model to use the californ	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant. 	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff	resources are sessment M griculture an fects, lead ag	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signed to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega	ined with a t argricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an rects, lead ag ate's invento	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signed to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lead 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significan odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo
 sunlight reaching the panel's surface. An anti-reflective coating on the panel also absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significan odel (1997 d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sign refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection reg gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significan odel (1997 d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Lat prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signed to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Let measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing s gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significan odel (1997 d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject?
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Lat prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signed to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Let measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor 	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing s gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significan odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use?	system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing s gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland encies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject?
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lee measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR).	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d	ined with a t agricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the statement project;	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signerer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califoral Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract 	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection reg gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d -	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland encies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject?
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califoral Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). 	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d ?	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire- land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le- measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract The Project is not zoned for agriculture or subject to a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d ?	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significa odel (199' d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire- land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lee measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract The Project is not zoned for agriculture or subject to a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d ?	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	tracked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an rects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire- land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le- measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract The Project is not zoned for agriculture or subject to a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d ?	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	resources are essessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operational panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Lapprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (Etc.) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined in Government Code Section 511040 (g)? 	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural m and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are seessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future 	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr	ilt solar e significa odel (199' d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X X Project
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signerer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (Ec) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12200 (g), timberland and Production (as defined in Government Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system 	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar e significa odel (199' d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X Project exempt
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signerer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoning designations. The adjacent office 	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar e significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X Project exempt
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are sig- refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire- land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lee measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined in Government Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoning designations. The adjacent offic Profession in the General Plan and zoned Light Commercial (C-1).	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar significa odel (199 d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X Project exempt ercial
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoned Light Commercial (C-1). d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? 	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar e significa odel (199' d farmlan gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X Project exempt
absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whethe environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califor a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoning designations. The adjacent offic Profession in the General Plan and zoned Light Commercial (C-1). d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? The Project is not within forest land.	l system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant envire protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X X X Project exempt ercial
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whethe environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural La prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Le measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoning designations. The adjacent office Profession in the General Plan and zoned Light Commercial (C-1). d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? The Project is not within forest land. e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location 	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
 absorption. The "backtracking" design of the solar system, which is an operationa panel system will eliminate glare for adjacent properties. 2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Laprepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to us In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are signefer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Lemeasurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the Califora) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewid Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nor agricultural use? The Project is not within an Agricultural Zone (Exhibit 7 in Final EIR). b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract (E c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined i Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220 (g), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 511040 (g)? The Project does not conflict with the Facilities Master Plan designation of Future provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling system from the City of Walnut General Plan and zoned Light Commercial (C-1). d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? 	I system, comb r impacts to a nd Evaluation e in assessing gnificant enviro protection rega gacy Assessm nia Air Resour e d 	ined with a t gricultural r and Site As impacts on a onmental eff arding the sta ent project; rces Board.	racked and t resources are ssessment M griculture an fects, lead ag ate's invento and the fo Would the pr Would the pr	ilt solar significat odel (199' d farmland gencies ma ory of fore rest carbo roject? X X X X Project exempt ercial

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The Project is located in the Retail & Solar Zone, not an Agricultural Zone.				
3. AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the a	pplicable ai	r quality mana	gement or ai	pollution
control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the	ne project:		- 	
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				X
Grading of 17.25 acres for the Project and implementation of the WPS project will I				
standards because of the small acreage and no traffic is associated with the Project u		ut; other than	service vehic	les.
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or		x		x
projected air quality violation. The Draft EIR will evaluate the construction and operational air quality impacts of t		1		
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			x	
The Draft EIR will evaluate the construction and operational air quality impacts of	the project l	based on SCA	GMD thresho	olds of
significance and Localized Significance Thresholds (LST) requirements. It is antic regional emissions effects will be less than cumulatively considerable.				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			X	1
The Draft EIR will evaluate the construction and operational air quality impacts of				
significance and Localized Significance Thresholds (LST) requirements. It is antic	ipated that s	ensitive recep	tors will not	be exposed
to substantial pollutant concentrations.				
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			X	
The Project will not produce odors. There is no degradation of the solar panels that	t would prod	luce odors. So	ome diesel oo	lors may
occur during construction but are not significant.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat	t			
			1	
modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California		x		

Department of Fish and Wildlife or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service? The Project site includes habitat of the California coastal gnatcatcher (CGN) which is a threatened species subject to CDFW and USFWS regulations. The District has processed all the required permits for Project impacts on the CGN. The permit conditions of approval will constitute the requirements and the impact will be Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

b) Have a substantially adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?

species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California

There is a small stand of riparian trees onsite and riparian shrubs. The District has processed a 1603 Permit: Streambed Alteration Agreement (SAA) with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). SAA are negotiated contractual agreements and should not be considered mitigation measures.

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	,	х		
While the streambeds onsite are not significant under CEQA, a 404 permit has been it	ssued by US	ACE.		
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or				
wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or		X		
impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
No trees are being removed during Project construction. Therefore, no migratory bir	ds will be im	pacted durin	g the nesting	season.

Surveys of trees for active nesting sites are required from March-May if trees are being removed. The primary concern is disturbing raptors.

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant	Less than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
	Impact	With	Impact	
	-	Mitigation		
		Incorporated		
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources			1	
such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	,			X
The campus has no tree preservation ordinance, but has a Land Use Management P	lan to minim	ize impacts or	n California	Black
Walnuts (CBW) on campus. No CBWs are located within the Project site.		in puero o	Curronna	
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natura	1		1	1
Conservation Community Plan, and other approved local, regional, or state habita				x
conservation plan?				
The Project site will not impact any habitat conservation plans.				4
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as	5			Y
defined in Section 15064.5?				X
The Project will not impact any historic features. The Draft EIR will include a cult	ural resource	e study which	will confirm	if cultural
resources are present onsite. A cultural resource study was completed for the Proje				
corrals were found onsite. However these structures are not significant historical re-				
West Parcel Solar Project, Applied Earthworks, December 2014). The Draft EIR w	vill evaluate	whether histori	ical resource	s are
present onsite. If found, appropriate mitigation measures will be required.				
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeologica	.1	X		
resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?				
While archaeological resources are not anticipated onsite, the Draft EIR will recom	imend measu	ires to assure t	hat if discov	ered during
grading, all state requirements will be followed.				
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique	e	x		
geologic feature?				
While paleontological resources are not anticipated onsite, the Draft EIR will recor	nmend meas	ures to assure	that if disco	vered
during grading, all state requirements will be followed.			T	
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of forma	1			X
cemeteries?				
There are no known cemeteries on or near campus and the surrounding areas on ca		een graded an	d/or disked.	1
e) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultura				
resource (TCR) such as a site, feature, place, cultural landscape, sacred place o				
object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe,: that is either on				
or eligible for inclusion in, the California Historic Register or a local historic				X
register, or is a resource that the Lead Agency, at its discretion and supported by	У			
substantial evidence, determines should be treated as a Tribal Cultural Resource	e			
(PRC 21074 (a) (1-2)?				
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:	a risk of los	inium or doc	th involving	
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including th		s, injury or dea		
(i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist				
Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area o based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mine				X
and Geology Special Publication 42.	5		1	
The Project site is not located within a currently designated State of California Ear	thougho Fou	t Zone (forme	he Alquist	Priolo
Special Studies Zones) for surface rupture. No surface faults are known to project				
include a geotechnical study which will confirm these preliminary conclusions.	unough of t	owarus the site	e. The Dian	
(ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?		1	X	1
All project construction will comply with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC		aismic safety		-
(iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?		listine salety.	1	X
The soils at the Project site are not anticipated to be susceptible to liquefaction. Th	A Draft EID	will include a	geotechnical	
which will confirm these preliminary conclusions.	C Dian EIK	will menuue a	geoteeninea	study
(iv) Landslides?		1		X
The WPS site ranges in elevation from 795 - 815 feet msl and is not known to be s	ubject to lan	dslides		Λ
(b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			1	X
The Project site is undeveloped. All construction activities, including grading will	he subject to	the Water O	uality Manag	
for the WPS Project. Prior to grading, some topsoil and Duff materials will be coll				

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
(c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				x
The soils at the Project site are likely not susceptible to liquefaction(d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	1	X		
The Draft EIR will include a geotechnical study which will confirm whether expan- mitigation for expansive soils is likely.	sive soil is p	resent onsite.	However, so	ome
(e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks of alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				x
No septic tanks or alternative waste water disposals are proposed. The Project site	is serviced l	by public sewe	ers.	
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project?	-r		1	
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant effect on the environment?				X
The Draft EIR will evaluate greenhouse gas emissions for the project. However, no type of project and its small scale.		effect is antic	ipated becau	se of the
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	f			x
The Project will not conflict with any GHG plan or regulation. GHG thresholds for CO ² Eq/year and the Project will generate minimal GHE emissions.	r mixed-use	projects are 3,	,500 metric to	ons
8. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project?	1	1	1	1
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?	9			X
No hazardous materials are being transported to or from the Project site.				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?				x
No hazardous materials are being transported to or from the Project site. Temporar is not a risk when stored properly.	ry storage of	fuel onsite for	r construction	n equipment
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials	,		1	1
substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? No public schools are located within one-quarter mile of the Project site. Collegew	/ood Elemer	tary is located	west of Gra	nd Avenue
and north of Collegewood Drive.				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials site compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would i create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				x
The Project site is not located in Section 65962.5 databases.				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the projec area?	d			x
The Project site is not within two miles of an airport.				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	a			x
The Project site is not within two miles of an airport.				
g) Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	y		x	
The Project will not interfere with emergency plans.				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				x
There are no wildland areas near the Project site.				

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	/r)		r	
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	I	X		
No water quality standards will likely be violated. All surface parking lots on campu		with an appr	oved Wate	r Quality
Management Plan. The Draft EIR will include a hydrology study and water quality p	lan.			
b) Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with				
groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a				
lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-			X	
existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land				
uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
All reclaimed water will be obtained from Walnut Valley Water District. Upon bailo	ut, the site will	use water on	ly for land	scaping
along Grand Avenue. Drought tolerant plants dominate the plant palette.	,			10
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including				
through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would		X		
result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
There are two streambeds onsite. The northern streambed is 585 linear feet in length	and is an enhen	neral stream	classified	as Waters
of the United States (WUS) and subject to USACE jurisdiction. The southern stream				
The Draft EIR will evaluate all project impacts on streambeds and either mitigation r				
required.	licasures of a ro	of Agreeme		iy be
	<u> </u>			
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including				
through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase			X	
the rate of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off				
site?		<u> </u>		D 1 11 1, 13
See discussion for Item 9a and 9c With implementation of the grading plan and relation				
the Project has a Less than Significant Impact on surface runoff rates. The existing s	torm drains alor	ig Grand Av	enue along	the
project frontage have adequate capacity to serve the Project.		, P		
e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or			1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of			X	
polluted runoff?				
The WPS site is currently undeveloped, but the slopes cause drainage to move quick				
WQMP will assure water quality is maintained and the Project design includes two w	vater drainage di	itches and a		1
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			X	
The Project will comply with all requirements of the WQMP (see Item 9a) so water of	quality will not o	degraded.		
g) Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood				
Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation				Х
map?				
The Project does not propose new housing.	7			
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or				
redirect flood flows?				Х
The Project site is not within a flood hazard area.		1		
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death				
involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				Х
The Project is not exposed to flooding from a dam.				
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	[
	ļ			
The Project site is not near oceans or subject to landslides and mud flows.				
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:	r	I	ī	**
a) Physically divide an established community?				X
The Project does not divide a community.				
b) Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency				
with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan,				
specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose				X
of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

The Project does not conflict with the prior Facilities Master Plan designation of Future New Building or Expansion Zone or the
current campus zoning of Retail & Solar. The Project provides a necessary service (solar electrical power for heating and cooling
systems) for campus buildings.

The Project is not subject to the City's Zoning because of California Government Code 53091(e). See Item 12(a) below.

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities' conservation plan?				х
The Project does not impact a conservation plan. The Project will obtain all required	permits from	n the Respon	sible Agenci	es for
impacts on the California coastal gnatcatcher (see Item 4).	· pormus non	in the reception		
11. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of				
value to the region and the residents of the state?				Х
There are no known mineral resources within the Project site.			1	
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use				х
plan?				
There are no plans designate the Project area a mineral resource recovery site.				
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards				
established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of				Х
other agencies?				
The District is not subject to the City's Noise Ordinance or noise standards.				
Per California Government Code 53091 (e): Water and electrical energy facilities: "				
apply to the location or construction of facilities for the production, generation, stora				
production or generation of electrical energy, facilities that are subject to Section 12				
substations in an electrical transmission system that receives electricity at less than 1				
city shall apply to the location or construction of facilities for the storage or transmis	sion of electr	rical energy b	by a local age	ency, if the
zoning ordinances make provision for those facilities."				
	•		41	
The Project(s) will not have a significant noise impact upon buildout. Only sporadic				roject and
no heavy equipment that generates noise is required. Therefore, the Project(s) has no	o noise impac		Sut.	
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or			X	
ground borne noise levels? No pile driving is proposed during construction of the Project. Any vibration due to	and in a near	the property	lino io limito	d to
conventional construction equipment, limited in duration and results in no safety or s				
evaluate any vibration effects on offsite properties caused by construction equipment				IK WIII
evaluate any violation effects on offsite properties caused by construction equipment	t dui ing grau	ing of the pre	jeet site.	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity				X
above levels existing without the project?				0.000
Upon buildout, the ambient noise level will not increase substantially. Periodic use	of maintenan	ce vehicles o	nsite will be	the only
noise source. Construction noise impacts are temporary in nature.				
d) A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the		x		
project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		A 1		

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

Upon buildout, the ambient noise level will not increase substantially. Periodic use of maintenance vehicles onsite will be the only noise source. Construction noise impacts are temporary in nature.

The Project will have a substantial increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above ambient noise levels during some phases of construction (i.e. grading) depending on distance from the sensitive receptors, the type of construction equipment being used, and the noise volume generated by that equipment at full power. The increase from ambient noise levels is more pronounced outside of peak periods went background traffic noise is less. Therefore, the most effective means of reducing temporary noise impacts during construction is to minimize the time construction occurs (i.e. complete it quickly to limit the noise duration or limit the hours of construction). The following mitigation measure (included as MM 5a in the 2012 Mitigation Monitoring Program for the certified 2012 Final EIR (SCH 2002041161) is feasible and effective in reducing Project construction noise from significant to Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

All construction and general maintenance activities, except in emergencies or special circumstances, shall be limited to the hours of 7 am to 7 pm Monday-Saturday. Staging areas for construction shall be located away from existing offsite residences. All construction equipment shall use properly operating mufflers. These requirements shall be included in construction contracts and implemented. Facilities Planning & Management shall monitor compliance.

implemented. Facilities Planning & Management shall monitor compliance.			
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan, or, where such a plan has			
not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would			x
the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise			
levels?			
The Project site is not within two miles of an airport.			
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose			x
people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			^
The Project site is not within two miles of an airport.			
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:			
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by			
proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through			X
extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			
The Project does not induce population growth. Temporary minor increases in emplo	oyment due t	o the Project do	not induce growth.
The circulation network is established and the campus does not require extension of n	roads or othe	r major infrastru	cture.
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction	1		V
of replacement housing elsewhere?			X
	h		
The Project does not include housing or displace housing.			
The Project does not include housing or displace housing.c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of			V
			X
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of			X
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	impacts ass	ociated with the	
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 			provision of new of
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of the court
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities. 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of the could be could
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of the court
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable servic objectives for any of the public services: 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of the tion of which could other performance
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable servic objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of the tion of which could other performance
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which coul other performance X
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which courd other performance of the tion of the tio of the tion of the tion of the tion of the tion of the ti
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which courd other performance of the tion of the tio of the tion of the tion of the tion of the tion of the ti
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which cou other performand X Los Angeles Sheri
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which cou other performand X Los Angeles Sheri X
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. d) Parks? 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which could other performance X X Los Angeles Sheri
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. d) Parks? 	ment faciliti	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which cou other performand X X Los Angeles Sheri X X
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. d) Parks? The Project has no impact on parks. e) Other public facilities? 	ment facilities ratios, res	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which could other performance X Los Angeles Sheri X
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable servic objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. d) Parks? The Project has no impact on parks. e) Other public facilities? 	ment facilities ratios, res	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which coul other performance X X Los Angeles Sheri X
 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The Project does not include displacement of people. 14. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered govern cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services: a) Fire protection? Existing fire services can protect the WPS without new facilities. b) Police protection? Mt. San Antonio College Department of Police/Public Safety (DPS) is responsible for Department also serves the campus. c) Schools? The project has no impact on schools. d) Parks? The Project has no impact on parks. e) Other public facilities? 	ment facilities ratios, res	ies, the construct	provision of new of tion of which coul other performance X X Los Angeles Sheri X

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

				r
or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
The Project has no residents and no impacts on parks or recreational facilities.	-	I		
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or	1			
expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on				x
he environment?				
The project does not include recreational facilities.	1			
6. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of				
effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all				
nodes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and		x		
elevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to		•		
ntersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and				
nass transit?				
Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon non-peak hours. The Project includes 139,000 cy of earth import from the Physical route will include Temple Avenue and Grand Avenue. The District is required to submit a Truck Haul Plan to the City of Walnut for review	Education Pro	ject (Phase 1)	project, an	d the hau
tot subject to CEQA. However, it is a Condition of Approval for the project. Dep nalysis, intermittent sporadic congestion may occur and further Conditions of App	ending on the	results of the	Truck Haul	l Plan
nay be subject to environmental review.		r		1
) Conflict with an applicable concession management program including but not				
			87	1
imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other			X	1
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon peak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Play	buildout. Co			
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Animal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include	fic will be	n of truck
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include	fic will be	
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns.	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include	fic will be	n of truck
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include	fic will be	n of truck
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Animal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I () Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns.) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	n of truck
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other andards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I (a) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? (he Project does not impact air traffic patterns.) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? (he Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into the	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	n of truck
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plata aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I (a) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into th (b) Result in inadequate emergency access? 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	n of truck
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into the project does not result in inadequate emergency access. 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	n of truck
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other candards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Inimial sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into th Result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access.	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	x x
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plata aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated.	fic will be e evaluation	n of truck
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I () Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. () Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into th () Result in inadequate emergency access? () Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the erformance or safety of such facilities?	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x x
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other andards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Inimial sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I () Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. () Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into th () Result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. () Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the erformance or safety of such facilities? () he Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x x
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other andards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Inimial sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns.) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into the) Result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access.) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x x
mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other andards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Animal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I () Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. () Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into the (he Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. () Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities; (he Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: () Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x x
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated bads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I (1) Result in a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water builty Control Board? 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X
 imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon beak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Null in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bikeways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X
 imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon beak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I is a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or langerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project has no impact on the design of Grand Avenue. The service road into the in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bleways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? The Project does not generate wastewater, only drainage flows. Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X X
 mited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon eak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I is a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water buality Control Board? The Project does not generate wastewater, only drainage flows. Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities response of which could cause significant for the project does significant? 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X
 imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Ainimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon teak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plat aul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I (1) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? The Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or angerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? The Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, ikeways, or pedestrian facilities? The Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? The Project does not generate wastewater, only drainage flows. Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities rexpansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant normanies. 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X X
 imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon beak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I is Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? Che Project does not impact air traffic patterns. Bubstantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or langerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? Che Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bikeways, or pedestrian facilities? Che Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? The Project does not generate wastewater, only drainage flows. Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities are likely required for the project for the applicable are likely required for the project for the form. 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety the Project.	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X X
 imited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other tandards established by the county congestion management agency for designated oads or highways? Minimal sporadic and low maintenance vehicle trips will occur for the Project upon beak hours. The Project has no impact on CMP intersections. The Truck Haul Plataul traffic at area intersections, using the LOS standard. A Less than Significant I is a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic evels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? Che Project does not impact air traffic patterns. A) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or langerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? Che Project does not result in inadequate emergency access. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bikeways, or pedestrian facilities? Che Project has no impacts on the facilities cited and does not decrease the perform 7. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project: A) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? Che Project does not generate wastewater, only drainage flows. A) Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant for the project access? 	buildout. Co n (see Item 16 mpact is antic e site will hav ance or safety the Project.	a) will include ipated. e minimal use	fic will be e evaluation	x X X X

Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

No new storm drains offsite are required for the Project. A new storm drain will be installed onsite but does not cause signi	ficant
effects.	
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing	х
entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	
The Three Valleys Municipal Water District has ample supplies for the Project. Water supplies for the campus are provided	l under an
agreement with TVMWD and its 2010 Urban Water Management Plan.	
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services	1000
or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the	X
project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	
The Project produces no wastewater.	
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the	Х
project's solid waste disposal needs?	~
The Project has no solid waste steam upon buildout. Minimal constructions debris will be disposed of in area landfills.	
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?	Х
The Project will comply with all applicable statues and regulations for solid waste.	
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.	
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment,	
substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife	
population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or	х
animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered	~
plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California	
history or prehistory?	
The Project has no impact on all issues listed, except for the potential impact on migratory birds, which is reduced to Less the	
Significant by Mitigation Measure 9e in the 2012 Final EIR. All biological impacts will be resolved through the conditions	of the
permits from the Responsible Agencies (i.e., SWRCB, USACE, CDFG, USFWS).	
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively	
considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of	
a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past	Х
projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future	
projects)?	
The Project is not anticipated to have cumulatively considerable impacts. The cumulative impacts for the 2012 FMP were a	adequately
evaluated in the Final EIR. No new projects near the Project site have been identified.	
While Project construction traffic may use the Grand Avenue/Temple intersection (i.e. where the FMP has an unavoidable a	-
impact) the Project's incremental contribution to that adverse impact is minima (i.e. less than cumulatively considerable). F	
	Project trip
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable	Project trip impact of
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F	Project trip impact of
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial x	Project trip impact of
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	Project trip impact of inal EIR).
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? X The Project is not anticipated to violate any air quality, noise or construction threshold or ordinance. All construction impact	Project trip impact of inal EIR).
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? X The Project is not anticipated to violate any air quality, noise or construction threshold or ordinance. All construction imparaticipated to not be adverse and the Project has minimal impacts upon buildout.	Project trip impact of inal EIR). cts are
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? X The Project is not anticipated to violate any air quality, noise or construction threshold or ordinance. All construction impact anticipated to not be adverse and the Project has minimal impacts upon buildout. Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code: Section	Project trip e impact of final EIR). cts are s 21080,
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? X The Project is not anticipated to violate any air quality, noise or construction threshold or ordinance. All construction imparanticipated to not be adverse and the Project has minimal impacts upon buildout. Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code: Section 21083.05, 21095, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 21083.05, Public Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Government v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.Action Code: Section 2008 Code: Section 2008 C	Project trip e impact of final EIR). cts are s 21080, pp.4 th
volumes are too small in proportion to the total trips on any intersection leg to cause an impact. (A cumulative unavoidable the FMP at the Grand Avenue/Temple Avenue intersection was first identified in Table 1 of the 2008 Master Plan Update F c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? X The Project is not anticipated to violate any air quality, noise or construction threshold or ordinance. All construction impact anticipated to not be adverse and the Project has minimal impacts upon buildout. Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code: Section	Project trip e impact of final EIR). cts are s 21080, pp.4 th